

Center of Excellence in Logistics, Shipping, and Transportation (CoELST)

Report
October 11, 2024


# Christos Sigalas Associate Professor – ACG, School of Business and Economics Academic Advisory Board – ACG CoELST E-mail: csigalas@acg.edu

**Konstantinos Papoudis** Senior Scholar – ACG <u>CoELST</u>

**Theodoros Gavriilidis**Visiting Scholar – ACG CoELST

Anastasia Afroditi Makka Scholar – ACG CoELST

# SENTIMENT ANALYSIS



#### Methods and Data:

The sentiment measure per shipping segment is constructed by the sum product of the frequency of each keyword during the past 2 weeks with its weight for fourteen keywords with positive market sentiment connotation, minus the sum product of the frequency of each keyword during the past 2 weeks with its weight for fourteen keywords with negative market sentiment connotation. A proprietary search algorithm software is used to calculate the frequencies of the selected keywords from articles in TradeWinds per shipping segment.

Reference: Gavriilidis T., Merikas A., Merika A. and Sigalas C. (2023). Development of a sentiment measure for dry bulk shipping. Maritime Policy and Management, 50(1): 58-80. https://doi.org/10.1080/03088839.2021.1959076

# P/NAV ANALYSIS

Company		27/9/24	11/10/24
CAPITAL CLEAN ENERGY CARRIERS	*	1,286x	1,240x ▼
CASTOR MARITIME	*	0,075x	0,074x 🔻
COSTAMARE	*	0,473x	0,451x 🔻
C3IS	*	0,096x	0,100x
DANAOS	*	0,406x	0,420x
DHT HOLDINGS	*	0,964x	1,032x
DIANA SHIPPING	*	0,425x	0,409x 🔻
EURODRY	*	0,382x	0,393x 🔺
EUROSEAS	*	0,601x	0,559x 🔻
FRONTLINE	*	1,134x	1,216x 🔺
GENCO SHIPPING & TRADING	*	0,692x	0,623x 🔻
GLOBAL SHIP LEASE	*	0,417x	0,402x 🔻
GLOBUS MARITIME	*	0,144x	0,130x ▼
GOLDEN OCEAN	*	0,901x	0,858x 🔻
HIMALAYA SHIPPING	*	0,922x	0,873x 🔻

Company		27/9/24	11/10/24
IMPERIAL PETROLEUM	*	0,249x	0,247x 🔻
INTERNATIONAL SEAWAYS	*	0,735x	0,737x
NAVIOS MARITIME PARTNERS	*	0,446x	0,436x 🔻
OCEANPAL	*	0,111x	0,115x 🔺
OKEANIS ECO TANKERS	*	1,169x	1,224x 🔺
PERFORMANCE SHIPPING	*	0,058x	0,059x
PYXIS TANKERS	*	0,387x	0,385x 🔻
SAFE BULKERS	*	0,469x	0,443x ▼
SCORPIO TANKERS	*	0,722x	0,729x 🔺
SEANERGY MARITIME HOLDINGS	*	0,639x	0,543x 🔻
STAR BULK CARRIERS	*	0,828x	0,766x 🔻
TOP SHIPS	*	0,073x	0,076x 🔺
TORO	*	0,286x	0,284x ▼
TSAKOS ENERGY NAVIGATION	*	0,260x	0,255x ▼
UNITED MARITIME	*	0,381x	0,352x 🔻

### Legend:

- Market Value data as of before market open
- \* Balance Sheet data as of June 30, 2024

#### Methods and Data:

The market capitalization (P) is calculated as total shares outstanding multiplied by the share price. Net Asset Value (NAV) is calculated as total assets minus net book value of vessels plus total market value of vessels minus total liabilities. The total shares outstanding, share price are extracted from Bloomberg database. The total assets, net book value of vessels and total liabilities are extracted from U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission archive financial data. The total market value of vessels is extracted from VesselsValue database.

Reference: Andrikopoulos A., Merika A. and Sigalas C. (2022). Net Asset Value discounts and premiums in maritime shipping industry. European Financial Management, 28(2): 510-544. https://doi.org/10.1111/eufm.12313



Center of Excellence in Logistics, Shipping, and Transportation (CoELST)

# TRADE WORKING CAPITAL ANALYSIS

Company	Previous Quarter	Latest Quarter	
CAPITAL CLEAN ENERGY CARRIERS	1,7% (2)	-1,5% (1)	
CASTOR MARITIME	18,8% (2)	10,7% (1)	
COSTAMARE	3,7% (2)	1,6% (1)	
C3IS	-31,9% (2)	-37,9% (1)	<b></b>
DANAOS	3,0% (2)	3,0% (1)	<b> </b>
DHT HOLDINGS	7,1% (2)	6,5% (1)	
DIANA SHIPPING	0,4% (3)	1,3% (1)	<b> </b>
EURODRY	2,0% (2)	3,3% (1)	<b> </b>
EUROSEAS	-2,9% (2)	-1,1% (1)	<b> </b>
FRONTLINE	5,9% (2)	5,2% (1)	<b></b>
GENCO SHIPPING & TRADING	5,4% (2)	5,5% (1)	<b> </b>
GLOBAL SHIP LEASE	0,3% (2)	0,0% (1)	<b> </b>
GLOBUS MARITIME	0,9% (3)	4,5% (1)	<b> </b>
GOLDEN OCEAN	1,5% (2)	2,2% (1)	<b> </b>
HIMALAYA SHIPPING	-0.3% (2)	-0.8% (1)	<b> </b>

Company	Previous Quarter	Latest Quarter	
IMPERIAL PETROLEUM	12,3% (2)	13,2% (1)	<b>P</b>
INTERNATIONAL SEAWAYS	12,1% (2)	7,7% (1)	<b></b>
NAVIOS MARITIME PARTNERS	-2,0% (2)	-2,5% (1)	<b></b>
OCEANPAL	3,1% (3)	23,6% (1)	<b>P</b>
OKEANIS ECO TANKERS	3,0% (2)	2,8% (1)	<b></b>
PERFORMANCE SHIPPING	1,6% (3)	0,5% (1)	<b></b>
PYXIS TANKERS	1,6% (2)	1,7% (1)	<b></b>
SAFE BULKERS	0,2% (2)	0,0% (1)	<b></b>
SCORPIO TANKERS	4,9% (2)	7,7% (1)	<b></b>
SEANERGY MARITIME HOLDINGS	-2,1% (3)	-1,0% (1)	<b>P</b>
STAR BULK CARRIERS	2,1% (2)	0,4% (1)	<b></b>
TOP SHIPS	-3,7% (3)	-1,7% (1)	<b>P</b>
TORO	0,2% (2)	-0,6% (1)	<b></b>
TSAKOS ENERGY NAVIGATION	0,6% (3)	-0,9% (1)	<b></b>
UNITED MARITIME	-4,4% (3)	3,6% (1)	<b></b>

#### Legend:

- (1) Balance Sheet data as of June 30, 2024
- (2) Balance Sheet data as of March 31, 2024
- (3) Balance Sheet data as of December 31, 2023

#### Methods and Data:

The Trade Working Capital (TWC) is calculated as Current assets minus total cash minus current liabilities plus current debt, over total assets. TWC > 0 indicates Trade Debit whereas TWC < 0 implies Trade Credit. Current assets, total cash, current liabilities, current debt, and total assets are extracted from U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission archive financial data.

Reference: Mantzari E., Merika A. and Sigalas C. (2023). Determinants and effects of trade credit financing: Evidence from the maritime shipping industry. European Financial Management, <a href="https://doi.org/10.1111/eufm.12448">https://doi.org/10.1111/eufm.12448</a>

#### Disclaimer:

The Sentiment and P/NAV analyses are for informational purposes only, you should not construe any such information or other material as investment, financial, or other advice.